## Case 5:13-cr-00745-DLJ Document 6 Filed 11/18/13 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number 13-cr-00745-DLJ
v.	
REYNALDO EFRAIN JUAREZ , Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	N. 1. 2012
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142	2(f), a detention hearing was held on November 18, 2013.
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney <u>Varell Fuller</u> .	The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney
Casey O'Neill .	
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	to a very constant (2004). The first have been convicted
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in	n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on rele	ease pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of	conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	the safety
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	on or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	and a second to the second to the defendant
	(the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	cto
A for which a maximum term of imprison	ment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et se	eq., OR
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firear	m during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	on or combination of conditions will reasonably a style the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the com-	MOV 1 8 2013
No presumption applies.	NOV 1 0 ZUIJ
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	evidence to rebut the applicable presumption RIGHARD W. WIEKING
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
therefore will be ordered detained.  / / The defendant has come forward with evidence to re	CAN · · -
/ / The detendant has come forward with evidence to re	but the appheasic presumption(e) is the second
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States	ODLICARI F)
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAP	the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
The United States has proved to a preponderance of	ND/OR
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, Al	ng evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing	ity
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF R	FASONS FOR DETENTION
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF A	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:  Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived	written findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
grant Contract to the custody of the Attorney (	General or his designated representative for confinement in a
continuous to the extent practicable from persons ay	vaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appear.
by the standard halforded a reasonable opportunity for private	consultation with defense coursel. On order of a court of the
used States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the	ne person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appeara	ance in connection with a court proceeding.
actendant to the Office States Maisher for the purpose of the office	N / /
, 1 ,	11 Chan
11/18/13	1/~ / / - 1
Dated:	
	A DE LI OVID

HOWARD R. LLOYD

United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA \_\_\_, ATTY \_\_\_\_, PTS \_\_\_\_

Dated: